UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

VERNON OARD,

Hon. Paul L. Maloney

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:17-cv-1136

v.

MUSKEGON COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on continuing issues relating to plaintiff's motion to compel discovery. (ECF No. 22). Pursuant to the Court's September 26, 2018, order (ECF No. 34), defendants found certain responsive documents authored by Circuit Judge Timothy Hicks (Notice, ECF No. 45). These consist of a two-page memorandum from Judge Hicks to Chief Judge William Marietti, dated March 20, 2017, and seven pages of Judge Hicks's "confidential" notes. Defendants objected to the production of these documents, asserting the deliberative process privilege as to parts, and the work product doctrine as to the entire contents of them. (ECF No. 57). Plaintiff challenged the assertion of these objections. (ECF No. 60).

Defendants provided the Court, *ex parte*, with unredacted copies of the documents at issue for *in camera* review. These documents were marked with color-coded designations regarding both deliberative process privilege and work product. The Court has completed that review.

Case 1:17-cv-01136-PLM-PJG ECF No. 67 filed 01/14/19 PageID.339 Page 2 of 2

The Court conducted a status conference today, at which counsel for all parties

appeared. (Minutes, ECF No. 66). Having considered the parties' oral and written

submissions, including the documents provided for in camera review, the Court will

sustain defendants' objections in part and overrule them in part. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that defendants' assertion of the deliberative process

privilege is sustained in its entirety, as evidenced by the yellow highlighted portions

of the documents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' assertion of work product is

sustained in its entirety as to Judge Hicks's March 20, 2017, memorandum, and in

part as to his "confidential" notes, as reflected in the green highlighting placed on the

documents by the undersigned judicial officer; and it is otherwise overruled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for defendants shall, within five

days of the date of this Order, produce to counsel for plaintiff a redacted copy of Judge

Hicks's "confidential" notes, consistent with the directions of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 14, 2019

/s/ Phillip J. Green

PHILLIP J. GREEN

United States Magistrate Judge

2